Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,832	NAGASU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed</u>		
(1) <u>Juliet C. Switzer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joe Liebeschuetz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4-8, 21-22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
The exmaniner suggested amendments to place the cla requesting that an office action be mailed.	ims in condition for allowance. Mr I	iebeschuetz responded on 10/22/04
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview is a section of the interview in the interview is a section of the interview in the interview in the interview is a section of the interview in the interview in	tion. The examiner will provide a	a written summary of the substance of the interview since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)